

Michael P. Smith

Camperdown NSW 2050

mail@michaelsmithnews.com

Professor Glyn Davis AC, Vice-Chancellor, The University of Melbourne

9th Floor, Raymond Priestley Building

The University of Melbourne

Victoria 3010 Australia

Fax: +61 3 9347 7253 / 9341 6060

Email: vc@unimelb.edu.au

Wednesday, 19 September, 2012

Dear Professor Davis,

Thank you for the University of Melbourne's wonderful contributions to Australia's freedom of speech and the broad-ranging public discussions through which our nation makes so much progress.

I have a couple of interests to declare that I hope won't colour your view of my note! I enjoyed several semesters in your business school as a Master of Business Administration student – and more recently I was the Chairman of the Advisory Board of your friendly competitor the University of Queensland Business School.

I was interested to receive a copy via email of the Open Letter to Members of the Federal Parliament apparently authored by several of the staff of the Melbourne Law School. I say apparently because the letter is not produced on the University's letter head, but the signatories broadly appear "ejusdem generis" to align with names associated with the School.

Would you pass on my thanks to your staff for reminding us about seemingly behaviour and appropriate respect for the office of Prime Minister? I note that our stocks of prime-ministerial respect are yet to be rebuilt since so much of it was wantonly jettisoned in the despatch of your co-convenor of the 2020 Conference Prime Minister Rudd.

I am thus surprised that the legal faculty has turned its attention to **community** disquiet, when so much of that expression of disquiet flows from the mendacity and moral turpitude of Miss Julia Eileen Gillard. As an officer of the court (with some reputational responsibility to her Alma Mater) Miss Gillard conducted her affair(s) while at Slater and Gordon in a manifestly improper fashion. Her role in the deceit of the Western Australian Commissioner for Corporate Affairs so as to cause him improperly to incorporate the fictional artefact "Australian Worker's Union Workplace Reform Association" is shown prima facie on the paperwork she submitted to him. It's further borne out by her admissions in a formal and recorded Record of Interview upon her termination as a partner with

the law firm Slater and Gordon. She told her investigating partners it was a slush fund for her boyfriend. As an officer of the court, she knowingly told the corporate affairs commissioner it was a workplace reform association designed “to achieve changes to work to achieve safe workplaces” (sic).

The slush fund your alumnus improperly helped to create was used to defraud the Western Australian Government’s statutory authority the Building and Construction Industry Training Fund (administered under the BCITF and Collection Levy Act, 1990 and responsible to a minister of the crown) of \$516,000. None of the money levied under the Act and paid to a construction company for on-payment to the “slush fund” has been recovered.

Since the discovery of her malfeasance and her dismissal from Slater and Gordon Miss Gillard has been involved in the improper and unjust exercise of covert influence to cover-up her misdeeds. You may have read of former News Limited CEO John Hartigan’s public statements regarding the menaces and demands made by Miss Gillard to achieve her aim of shutting down public commentary - about an issue of self-evident public interest.

Rather than have your legal faculty turn its mind to the appropriateness of the opinions or mode of expression employed by what the faculty quaintly refers to as “ordinary” Australians, perhaps you might pass on my request of them that they give some guidance in ethics, professional conduct, aiding and abetting the commission of serious indictable offences, misprision of felony and the proper relationship between solicitor and client without the conflict of interest imposed by an adulterous sexual affair. The dangers in that conflict are amplified when the affair-ee embezzles money using an instrument and corporate structure improperly created by the solicitor.

Thank you again Professor for your service to our community. I ought to add that I will publish this letter to you on my blog www.michaelsmithnews.com and that I’ll let my readers know that I’ve asked for a response from you which I can make public too.

Yours sincerely,

(signed online)

Michael P. Smith

www.michaelsmithnews.com